

Final Results from a Phase I Trial Combining Selinexor with High-dose Cytarabine (HiDAC) and Mitoxantrone (Mito) for Remission Induction in Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)

Amy Y. Wang, MD, MPH¹; Howard Weiner¹; Richard A. Larson, MD¹; Olatoyosi Odenike, MD¹; Olatoyosi Odenike, MD¹; Michael Bishop, MD¹; Lucy A. Godley, MD, PhD¹; Michael Bishop, MD¹; Clatoyosi Odenike, MD¹; Michael Bishop, MD¹; Clatoyosi Odenike, MD¹; Michael Bishop, MD¹; Micha J. Thirman, MD¹; Satyajit Kosuri, MD¹; Jane E. Churpek, MD¹; Emily Curran, MD¹; Kristen Pettit, MD²; Wendy Stock, MD¹; Hongtao Liu, MD, PhD¹ ¹The University of Chicago Medicine, Chicago, IL; ²University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI

Background

CHICAGO

MEDICINE

Selinexor, an exportin 1 (CRM1/XPO1) inhibitor, has demonstrated anti-leukemic effects as a single agent and in combination with anthracyclines and DNA damaging agents. HiDAC/Mito is an effective induction regimen for patients with relapsed/refractory (R/R) AML and has a reported overall response rate (ORR) of 55% at our institution. We hypothesized that adding Selinexor to HiDAC/Mito would be feasible and have synergistic antileukemic effects. Early results of the trial were previously reported (Wang et al., J Hematol Oncol, 2018), and here we present more mature data on survival and relapse.

Study Design & Schema

We performed a phase 1 dose escalation trial with cohort expansion in a 3+3 design (NCT02573363).

The primary endpoint was to determine the maximum tolerated dose (MTD). Secondary endpoints were to determine ORR, toxicities, relapse free survival (RFS), overall survival (OS), and allogeneic stem cell transplantation (allo-SCT) success rate.



(Or proceed to allo-SCT)

- Selinexor dose levels: 60mg (~35mg/m²), 80mg $(\sim 50 \text{mg/m}^2)$
- Selinexor was given orally on days 2, 4, 9, and 11 during the induction phase.
- HiDAC (1.5 to 3 g/m² depending on age, IV over 3 hours) followed immediately by Mito (20 to 30 mg/m²) IV over 1 hour) was administered on day 1 and 5.
- Patients who entered remission proceeded to SCT or consolidation chemotherapy.
- Dose limiting toxicities (DLT) were only evaluated during dose escalation and defined as any grade 3 or greater non-hematologic toxicity, except transient (<48 hours) nausea/vomiting or liver function abnormalities, or by persistent bone marrow aplasia >56days in the absence of disease.

Eligibility Criteria

Total 28 patients enrolled (10/2015 - 10/2017)Inclusion criteria: Newly diagnosed or R/R LVEF >50% ECOG ≤2 Renal and hepatic function (CrCl >30cc/min, total bilirubin ≤2mg/dl, AST/ALT <3x ULN, PT/PTT< 2x ULN) **Exclusion criteria**:

- weeks

Patient Characteris

Total patien enrolled Selinexo Selinexo

Female

Median age

Initial AML De novo Seconda Therapy

Disease sta enrollment Newly d R/R

Median lines treatment (F ELN risk (20 criteria)

Favorab Intermed Adverse

Mutation sta FLT3-ITC FLT3-TK CEBPA NPM1

Investigational agent within 2

CNS involvement Significant co-morbidities

Demographics

	Number (%)
stics	
ts	28
	3
or 60 mg	25
or 80 mg	
	18 (64)
)	61
	(range 37-76)
diagnosis	
	15 (54)
ary	12 (43)
-related	1 (3)
ate on	
iagnosed	15 (54)
lagnooda	13 (46)
s of	1 (range 1-3)
R/R only)	
010	
le	6 (21)
diate I/II	14 (50)
	8 (29)
atus	
D	3 (11)
(D	2 (7)
	3 (11)

7 (25)

Most Common	Grade 1/2	Grade 3/4	Reported Serious	Grade		ORR (CR/CRi/PR)	CR	CRi	PR	TF	
Echrile neutropenia	(/0)	21 (75)	(n=12)		All Patients (n=28)	18 (64%)	13 (46%)	4 (14%)	1 (4%)	10 (36%)	
Diarrhoa	Q (32)	21 (73)	Cerebellar toxicitv*	3	Disease state						
Electrolyte disturbance	9 (32)	1 (1)	Urosepsis	3	Newly diagnosed	13 (87%)	9	3	1	2	
Electrolyte disturbance	0 (29)	1 (4)	Cellulitis	3	R/R	5 (38%)	4	1	0	8	
Bacteremia	0	9 (32)	Bacteremia	3	Age						
Anorexia	8 (29)	0	Endocarditis	3	>60	9 (60%)	6	3	0	6	
Nausea/vomiting	7 (25)	1 (4)	Hemorrhagic stroke*	5	≤60	9 (69%)	7	1	1	4	
Fatigue	7 (25)	0	Nausea/Vomiting	3	ELN risk						
Acute kidney injury	6 (21)	1 (4)	Subdural hematoma*	2	Favorable	4 (67%)	4	0	0	2	
Cardiac toxicity*	5 (18)	3 (11)		-	Intermediate 1/2	9 (64%)	6	3	0	5	
Not thought to be related to selinexor		Cardiac arrest (IdP)	4	Adverse	5 (63%)	3	1	1	3		
No DLTs were observed in dose escalation.Acute renal failure*Myelosuppression was universal.Mucor sinusitisMedian time to count recovery (ANC >1.0 x 10%)L,Abn electrolytes		Acute renal failure*	3	Dose level							
		4	60mg	1 (33%)	1	0	0	2			
		4	80mg	17 (68%)	12	4	1	8			
plt >100 x 10 ⁹ /L) for CR patients was 46 days. (hypoK,		(hypoK, hypoNa)		Complete remission (CR), CR with incomplete count recovery (CRi), partial remission (PR), treatme							
One death from hemorrhagic stroke prior to *Not the		*Not thought to be related	to	failure (TF)							

- completing induction



months).

33 months later.

Jazz, Oncotherapy Science, Agios, NS Pharma, Janssen, Astex, Gilead. MB: United, Seattle Genetics, Celgene, Juneau, Novartis. EC: Merck. WS: Jazz. HL: BMS.

Results

• One CR patient completed consolidation and maintenance without allo-SCT and remains in remission



- This regimen yields an ORR of 64%.
- risk group.



Conclusions

Selinexor plus HiDAC/Mito is feasible and tolerable. The R2PD of Selinexor is 80mg (50 mg/m²/day).

• Most common AEs are febrile neutropenia, diarrhea, electrolyte disturbances, bacteremia, and anorexia.

• This regimen serves as a good bridge to transplant, allowing 56% of responders to undergo allo-SCT.

Patients with adverse risk by ELN responded at comparable rates. We recommend focusing further studies in this high-