# Single Agent Oral Selinexor in Relapsed/Refractory Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL): Phase 2b SADAL Study

**M. Maerevoet**, J. Westin, C. Thieblemont, J. Zijlstra, BT. Hill, F. De La Cruz Vicente, S. Choquet, P. Caimi, J. Kaplan, M. A. Canales, J. Kuruvilla, G. Follows, E. Van den Neste, J. Meade, B. Wrigley, M. Devlin, J.R Saint-Martin, C. Nippgen, H. Gardner, S. Shacham, M. Kauffman, R.O Casasnovas







### **Disclosures**

Research Support	Bayer, Karyopharm, Celgen, Roche, ARGNx		
Consultant	N/A		
Honoraria	Travel grant: Amgen, Roche, Takeda, Karyopharm		
Scientific Advisory Board	Gilead, Takeda, Roche		
Major Stockholder	N/A		
Employee, Speakers Bureau	N/A		

#### Selinexor is an investigational therapy not approved by EMA or FDA



# **Background – Relapsed DLBCL**

Overall survival of patients with DLBCL refractory to second line therapy is very poor



- Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) is the most common type of non-Hodgkin lymphoma
- ~60% of patients are cured with frontline combination chemotherapy + anti-CD20 antibodies
- Relapsed or refractory DLBCL can be cured by platinum-based chemoregimens followed by high dose chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation (Gisselbrecht, CORAL study, JCO 2010)
- The patients that have failed second line therapy or are not candidates for transplantation have a very poor outcome (Crump, SCHOLAR study, ASCO 2016)
  - Overall Response Rate: ~25% with available agents
  - Median Overall Survival: < 6 months</p>
- R/R DLBCL represents a significant unmet medical need



# Background – Exportin 1 (XPO1)

- XPO1 is the major nuclear export protein for which transports certain proteins from the nucleus to the cytoplasm including:
  - Tumor Suppressor Proteins (TSPs)
  - Oncoprotein mRNAs (e.g., c-Myc, Bcl-xL, MDM2 and cyclins)
- XPO1 in cancer cells:
  - Inactivates TSPs by nuclear exclusion
  - Contributes to cell proliferation
- XPO1 is overexpressed in DLBCL; 60% of R/R DLBCL having >70% XPO1 positive cells



Marullo AACR 2015



## **Selinexor – Mechanism of Action**



- Selinexor:
  - Oral small molecule, first-in-class inhibitor of XPO1, inhibits cell growth and tumor apoptosis
  - Reactivates multiple TSPs and reduces oncoproteins known to play critical roles in NHL
  - ➢ Blocks NF-κB activation
  - Phase I study of selinexor, monotherapy demonstrated activity in heavily pretreated lymphomas including GC/nonGC subtypes and DH DLBCL (*Kuruvilla, Blood* 2017). Responses were durable



# SADAL Study Design – NCT 02227251

- A randomized Phase 2B study comparing 60 mg vs. 100 mg single agent oral selinexor in patients with relapsed/refractory diffuse large B-Cell lymphoma (DLBCL)
  - Stratified by cell-of-origin subtype (GCB or non-GCB)
  - > Twice Weekly / 28 Day Cycle
- Endpoints:
  - > Primary: Overall Response Rate (ORR), according Lugano Criteria 2014 (Cheson, JCO, 2014)
  - Secondary: Duration of Response (DOR), OS, and safety
- Main Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria:
  - > Patients ≥18 years with clinical or radiographic evidence of progressive DLBCL
  - > Received at least 2 to maximum 5 previous systemic therapies (including anthracycline and mabthera)
  - $\geq$  214 weeks from last treatment
  - Excluded, any significant organ failure or ANC <1,000/mm<sup>3</sup> or platelets <75,000/mm<sup>3</sup>,



## **Baseline Patient Characteristics**

	60 mg	100 mg
Patients Enrolled as of May 15, 2017 (N=90)	46	44
Median Age, Years (range)	68 (44 – 87)	66 (30 – 83)
Males : Females	29 M : 17 F	28 M : 16 F
de novo DLBCL : Transformed DLBCL	74% de novo : 26% trans	70% de novo : 30% trans
GCB Subtype	22 (48%)	23 (52%)
Non-GCB Subtype	24 (52%)	21 (48%)
Median Prior Regimens (range)	3 (2 – 5)	3 (2 – 5)
- Prior Stem Cell Transplant	13 (28%)	18 (41%)
R-IPI Risk (Sehn 2007)		
- High Risk	7 (15%)	7 (16%)
- High Intermediate Risk	18 (39%)	15 (34%)
- Low Intermediate Risk	14 (31%)	15 (34%)
- Low Risk	6 (13%)	5 (11%)
- Unknown	1 (2%)	2 (5%)

#### Safety – Related Adverse Events Occurring in ≥10% of Patients (N=90)

AE Term	60 mg N=46			100 mg N=44				
<u>Gastrointestinal</u>	Grade 1/2	Grade 3	Grade 4	G 3/4 Total	Grade 1/2	Grade 3	Grade 4	G 3/4 Total
Nausea	21 (45.7%)	3 (6.5%)		3 (6.5%)	19 (43.2%)	3 (6.8%)		3 (6.8%)
Anorexia	18 (39.1%)	1 (2.2%)		1 (2.2%)	19 (43.2%)	6 (13.6%)		6 (13.6%)
Vomiting	16 (34.8%)				11 (25%)	1 (2.3%)		1 (2.3%)
Diarrhea	14 (30.4%)	1 (2.2%)		1 (2.2%)	13 (29.5%)	3 (6.8%)		3 (6.8%)
Altered Taste	6 (13%)				2 (4.5%)			
Constipation	6 (13%)				4 (9.1%)			
<u>Constitutional</u>								
Fatigue/Asthenia	22 (47.8%)	5 (10.9%)		5 (10.9%)	17 (38.6%)	11 (25%)		11 (25%)
Weight Loss	12 (26.1%)				17 (38.6%)	1 (2.3%)		1 (2.3%)
<u>Hematologic</u>								
Thrombocytopenia	6 (13%)	8 (17.4%)	5 (10.9%)	13 (28.2%)	8 (18.2%)	9 (20.5%)	9 (20.5%)	18 (41%)
Anemia	8 (17.4%)	7 (15.2%)		7 (15.2%)	8 (18.2%)	4 (9.1%)		4 (9.1%)
Neutropenia	4 (8.7%)	5 (10.9%)	3 (6.5%)	8 (17.4%)	2 (4.5%)	6 (13.6%)	2 (4.5%)	8 (18.2%)
Other								
Hyponatremia	1 (2.2%)	3 (6.5%)		3 (6.5%)	1 (2.3%)	4 (9.1%)		4 (9.1%)
Dizziness	2 (4.3%)				7 (15.9%)			



## **Causes of Treatment Discontinuation (N=69)**

	60 mg (N=44)	100 mg (N=46)
Patients Off Treatment	34 (74%)	35 (80%)
Progressive Disease	21 (62%)	17 (49%)
Toxicity	6 (18%)	11 (31%)
Death	4 (12%)	3 (9%)
Other	3 (9%)	4 (11%)
Median Dose Received	51 mg	71 mg



# Efficacy – Pre-Specified Interim Analysis First 63 Patients

Best Responses <sup>†</sup> in the First 63 Patients as of May 15, 2017						
Category	All Patients (N=63)	60 mg (N=32)	100 mg (N=31)	GCB (N=32)	Non-GCB (N=31)	
ORR (%)	21 (33.3%)	11 (34.4%)	10 (32.2%)	9 (28.1%)	12 (38.7%)	
CR (%)	9 (14.3%)	4 (12.5%)	5 (16.1%)	4 (12.5%)	5 (16.1%)	
PR (%)	12 (19.0%)	7 (21.9%)	5 (16.1%)	5 (15.6%)	7 (22.6%)	
SD (%)	6 (9.5%)	1 (3.1%)	5 (16.1%)	3 (9.4%)	3 (9.7%)	
PD/NE (%)	36 (57.1%)	20 (62.5%)	16 (51.6%)	20 (62.5%)	16 (51.6%)	

<sup>†</sup>Responses were adjudicated according to the Lugano Classification *(Cheson, 2014)* by an independent central radiological review committee. ORR=Overall Response Rate (CR+PR), CR=Complete Response, PR=Partial Response, SD=Stable Disease, PD=Progressive Disease, NE=Non-evaluable. Responses are based on interim unaudited data as of May 15, 2017 for the first 63 patients (of 90 total patients).

#### Overall response rate as determined by an independent central radiological review







**Responders (N=21) – Response Onset & Time on Treatment** 



Among 21 responders, the median time on treatment was 9 months (median DOR >7 months, with a FUP of 13 months) 9 responders remain on treatment including 6 patients in CR



#### **SADAL Efficacy – Overall Survival**





# **Summary and Conclusions**

- Selinexor, a first in class XPO1 inhibitor, has demonstrated activity in R/R DLBCL
- Overall Response Rate of 33.3%
  - > Response rates were similar across subgroups (60 mg, 100 mg, GCB, non-GCB, DH/TH patients)
  - Median of DOR >7 months including prolonged CRs
  - > The median overall survival is 8 months (median not reached in responding patients)
- Most common adverse events:
  - Fatigue, nausea, anorexia, vomiting (mainly grade 1/2), and thrombocytopenia (mainly grade 3/4)
  - > AEs can be managed with supportive care, dose reductions / interruptions
  - > 60 mg was better tolerated than 100 mg with less dose reductions or discontinuations
- Based on AE profiles, discontinuation rates, efficacy signals:
  - > The 100 mg arm was discontinued
  - > Enrollment is ongoing with an additional 90 patients to be enrolled on the 60 mg arm



## Acknowledgements

#### **Patients and their families**

#### Investigators, co-investigators and study teams at each participating center

- Institute Jules Bordet, Brussels, Belgium
- MD Anderson, Houston, Texas, USA
- APHP, Hopital Saint-Louis, Hemato-Oncology, Paris, France
- VU University Medical Center Amsterdam; on behalf of Lunenburg Lymphoma Phase-I Consortium, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- Cleveland Clinic Taussig Cancer Institute, Cleveland, Ohio, USA
- Hospital University Virgen del Rocio, Sevilla Spain
- Hospital Pitie Salpetriere, Paris, France

- Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA
- Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain
- Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, ON
- Cambridge University Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK
- University Hospital Seidman Cancer Center, Cleveland, Ohio, USA
- Cliniques Universitaires UCL Saint-Luc, Brussels Belgium
- CHU Dijon, Dijon, France





This study was sponsored by Karyopharm Therapeutics

