Deep and Durable Responses with Selinexor, Daratumumab, and Dexamethasome (SDd) in Patients with Multiple Myeloma Previously Treated with PIs and IMiDs: Results of Phase 1b/2 Study of SDd

**C. Gasparetto,** S. Lentzsch, G. Schiller, W. Bensinger, NJ. Bahlis, H. Sutherland, D. White, M. Sebag, R. Kotb, C. Venner, R. LeBlanc, C. Chen, A. Del Col, M. Kauffman, S. Shacham, J. Jeha, J-R. Saint-Martin, J. Shah, J. Turner, D. Sullivan, B. Lipe

## **Selinexor:**

### First-in-Class, Oral Selective Inhibitor of Nuclear Export (SINE)<sup>1-4</sup>



#### **Exportin 1 (XPO1)** is the major nuclear export protein for:

- Tumor suppressor proteins (TSPs, e.g, p53, IκB, and FOXO)
- eIF4E-bound oncoprotein mRNAs (e.g., c-Myc, Bcl-xL, cyclins)
- Glucocorticoid receptor (GR)

#### **XPO1** is overexpressed in MM:

- High XPO1 levels enable cancer cells to escape TSP-mediated cell cycle arrest and apoptosis
- **XPO1** levels correlate with poor prognosis and drug resistance

## **Selinexor** is an oral selective **XPO1** inhibitor; preclinical data supports that selinexor:

- Reactivates multiple TSPs by preventing nuclear export
- Inhibits oncoprotein translation
- Reactivates GR signaling in presence of dexamethasone
- Enhances daratumumab activity *in vitro* against myeloma cells

### Daratumumab and Selinexor Single Agent Activity in Heavily-Treated MM

SIRIUS: Daratumumab Monotherapy<sup>1</sup>

Refractory to PI and IMiD

### **ORR: 29.2%**

### **STORM: Selinexor + Dexamethasone<sup>2</sup>**

Refractory to PI, IMiD and Dara

**ORR: 26.2%** 

Both show single agent activity with ORR of 26-29% in RRMM

# **STOMP Study Design**

#### **Primary Objective:** Maximum tolerated dose (MTD) and recommended Phase 2 dose (RP2D)

#### **Patient Populations:**

- Arm SDd: selinexor + daratumumab + dexamethasone
  - Patients who received ≥3 prior lines of therapy for MM, including a PI and an IMiD
  - Or patients with MM refractory to both a PI and an IMiD
- Arm SPd: selinexor + pomalidomide + dexamethasone (ASH 2018 Poster 1993)
- Arm SVd: selinexor + bortezomib + dexamethasone
- Arm SKd: selinexor + carfilzomib + dexamethasone
- Arm SRd: selinexor + lenalidomide + dexamethasone (also in newly-diagnosed patients)

#### **SDd Dosing Scheme:** 3 + 3 design was used for dose escalation phase

Dose Level	Treatment Regimen	Dose-Limiting Toxicity (DLT)
0	Selinexor, oral 60 mg (Days 1, 3) Twice Weekly Daratumumab, IV 16 mg/kg Once Weekly Dexamethasone, oral 20 mg Twice Weekly	Grade 2 fatigue and Grade 3 thrombocytopenia (both requiring reduction to 100 mg QW selinexor)
-1	Selinexor, oral 100 mg Once Weekly Daratumumab, IV 16 mg/kg Once Weekly Dexamethasone, oral 40 mg Once Weekly	No DLTs were reported in the 100 mg QW cohort

## **SDd Patient Characteristics**

Patient Characteristics	Ν
Enrolled as of November 15, 2018 60 mg selinexor BIW + 16 mg/kg daratumumab QW 100 mg selinexor QW + 16 mg/kg daratumumab QW (RP2D)	28 3 25
Median Age, Years (range)	68 (44–77)
Males : Females	14 M : 14 F
Median Time from Diagnosis to SDd Treatment, Years (range)	5.9 (<1–12.9)
Median Prior Regimens (range) Proteasome Inhibitor (Exposed : Refractory) Immunomodulatory Drug (Exposed : Refractory) Autologous Stem Cell Transplant Daratumumab	<b>3 (2–10)</b> 28 (100%) : 17 (61%) 28 (100%) : 18 (64%) 22 (79%) 2 (7%)

## SDd: Treatment Related Non-Hematological Adverse Events in >3 Patients (RP2D Patients)

AE Term	100 mg Sel QW + 16 mg/kg Dara QW RP2D						
Gastrointestinal	Grade 1/2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Total (N=25)			
Nausea	14 (56.0%)	1 (4.0%)		15 (60.0%)			
Diarrhea	7 (28.0%)	1 (4.0%)		8 (32.0%)			
Vomiting	6 (24.0%)			6 (24.0%)			
Anorexia	7 (28.0%)			7 (28.0%)			
Constipation	4 (16.0%)			4 (16.0%)			
Dysgeusia	5 (20.0%)			5 (20.0%)			
Constitutional							
Fatigue	9 (36.0%)	3 (12.0%)		12 (48.0%)			
Dyspnea	2 (8.0%)			2 (8.0%)			
Weight Loss	2 (8.0%)			2 (8.0%)			
Other							
Hyponatremia	4 (16.0%)	3 (12.0%)		7 (28.0%)			
Insomnia	6 (24.0%)			6 (24.0%)			
Vision Blurred	6 (24.0%)			6 (24.0%)			

Safety data cutoff of November 1, 2018

### SDd: Treatment Related Hematological Adverse Events in >3 Patients (RP2D Patients)

AE Term	100 mg Sel QW + 16 mg/kg Dara QW RP2D					
Hematologic	Grade 1/2	Grade 3	Grade 4	Total (N=25)		
Thrombocytopenia	5 (20.0%)	7 (28.0%)	4 (16.0%)	16 (64.0%)		
Anemia	5 (20.0%)	7 (28.0%)		12 (48.0%)		
Leukopenia	4 (16.0%)	7 (28.0%)		11 (44.0%)		
Neutropenia	5 (20.0%)	6 (24.0%)		11 (44.0%)		
Lymphopenia	1 (4.0%)	3 (12.0%)	1 (4.0%)	5 (20.0%)		

• No treatment-related Grade 5 events were reported

### Based on tolerability, the RP2D of SDd is selinexor 100 mg QW, daratumumab 16 mg/kg (per approved dosing) and dexamethasone 40 mg QW

## **SDd Efficacy**

Category	N*	ORR (%)	VGPR (%)	PR <sup>‡</sup> (%)	MR (%)	CBR (%)	SD (%)	PD (%)
Daratumumab Naïve	24	19 (79%)	7 (29%)	12 (50%)	2 (8%)	21 (88%)	3 (13%)	
All	26	19 (73%)	7 (27%)	12 (46%)	2 (8%)	21 (81%)	4 (15%)	1 (4%)

Responses were adjudicated according to the International Myeloma Working Group criteria, \*two patients not evaluable for response withdrew consent prior to disease follow-up. <sup>‡</sup>Two unconfirmed PRs. ORR=Overall Response Rate (VGPR+PR), VGPR=Very Good Partial Response, PR=Partial Response, MR=Minimal Response, SD=Stable Disease, PD=Progressive Disease, CBR=Clinical Benefit Rate (ORR+MR). Responses as of November 15, 2018 based on interim unaudited data.

## **SDd Efficacy: Dara-Naïve Patients**

Prior Therapy Exposure	<b>N</b> *	ORR (%)	VGPR (%)	PR <sup>‡</sup> (%)	MR (%)	CBR (%)	SD (%)	PD (%)
Bort + Len	24	19 (79%)	7 (29%)	12 (50%)	2 (8%)	21 (88%)	3 (13%)	
Bort + Len + Carfil	13	11 (85%)	4 (31%)	7 (54%)	1 (8%)	12 (92%)	1 (8%)	
Bort + Len + Pom	10	7 (70%)	2 (20%)	5 (50%)	2 (20%)	9 (90%)	1 (10%)	
Bort + Len + Carfil + Pom	6	5 (83%)	1 (17%)	4 (67%)	1 (17%)	6 (100%)		

Responses were adjudicated according to the International Myeloma Working Group criteria, \*two patients not evaluable for response withdrew consent prior to disease follow-up. \*two unconfirmed PRs. ORR=Overall Response Rate (VGPR+PR), VGPR=Very Good Partial Response, PR=Partial Response, MR=Minor Response, SD=Stable Disease, PD=Progressive Disease, CBR=Clinical Benefit Rate (ORR+MR). Responses as of November 15<sup>th</sup>, 2018 based on interim unaudited data.

## SDd Efficacy – Patients with CBR (≥MR)



## **SDd Efficacy – M-Protein Effect**



## **SDd: Progression Free Survival**



## **Conclusions – Safety & Efficacy**

### Selinexor in combination with daratumumab and dexamethasone:

- RP2D of SDd: selinexor 100 mg, daratumumab 16 mg/kg and dexamethasone 40 mg, administered QW
- Most common G3/4 AEs: thrombocytopenia (44%), anemia (28%), leukopenia (28%), and neutropenia (24%)
- Low-grade gastrointestinal side effects were common

### Selinexor in combination with daratumumab and dexamethasone achieved:

- **ORR of <u>79%</u>** in daratumumab-naïve patients
- Clinical benefit rate of 88% in daratumumab-naïve patients
- Medians for PFS and DOR have not been reached

Selinexor in combination with daratumumab and dexamethasone appears to be highly active, produces deep and durable responses in patients with RRMM, and warrants further investigation

# Acknowledgments

### Patients, their families, and caregivers Investigators, co-investigators, and study teams at each participating center

- Duke University Cancer Center, Durham, North Carolina
- Columbia University, New York, NY
- UCLA Ronald Reagan Medical Center, Los Angeles, California
- Swedish Cancer Center, Seattle, WA
- Southern Alberta Cancer Research Institute, Calgary, Alberta
- Vancouver General Hospital, Vancouver, British Columbia
- Dalhousie University and QEII Health Sciences Center, Halifax, Nova Scotia

- Royal Victoria Hospital, Montreal, Québec
- Cancer Care Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba
- Cross Cancer Institute, Edmonton, Alberta
- Hôpital Maisonneuve-Rosemont, Montreal, Québec
- Princess Margaret Cancer Center, Toronto, Ontario
- Myeloma Canada, Laval, Québec
- University of Rochester Medical College, New York, NY
- Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL

This study was sponsored by Karyopharm Therapeutics